Application No. Applicant(s)

Interview Summary	09/800,979	MAGNUSSEN ET AL.
and the same of th	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Thomas M. Dougherty</u> .	(3) <u>Peter Varadi</u> .	
(2) <u>Lowell Anderson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 March 2003.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>proposed amendment</u> .	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>58</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Mr. Anderson and Mr. Varadi discussed the cross section as defined in claim 52 and discussed the definition of plastic deformation</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		i
	62	2 had looks
Evaminer Note: You must sign this forms will be it	VALL.	2 hole Serits

xaminer Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required